

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/917,956	KINDO, TOSHIKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meltin Bell	2121	

All Participants:

(1) Meltin Bell.

(2) Christopher W. Brody.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2004

Time: 3:45 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

4/29/04 IDS references 2, 4 and 5 by Ojala, Kawai et al and Nomura et al, respectively.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The three 4/29/04 IDS documents referred to in the above prior art documents discussed section were requested from Christopher W. Brody. I gave him the case's attorney document number and my phone number so he could call me when he locates the references and we can discuss how he sends them..

BEST AVAILABLE COPY